1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD STORMS,

Plaintiff,

v.

COUNTY OF MONTEREY,

Defendant.

Case No. 20-cv-07913-NC

ORDER AFTER PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

The Court held a pretrial conference in this case on July 22, 2022. This Order summarizes the events discussed:

- REMOTE TESTIMONY: The parties mentioned the possibility of remote 1. witness testimony. If the parties wish to have a witness appear remotely, they must file a stipulation to that effect by August 1, 2022. If the Court grants the stipulation, the parties must have their remote witnesses review the Court's Order re: Remote Testimony before the witness takes the stand.
- 2. TRIAL SCHEDULE: The trial will begin with opening statements on Tuesday, August 9, 2022, at 9:30 a.m. The trial will run from 9:30 a.m. through 4:30 p.m. each weekday with short morning and afternoon breaks and a longer lunch break around 1:00 or 2:00 p.m. Each side will have ten hours to present their arguments.

Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 3. LOCATION: The trial will take place in Courtroom 8. Courtroom 8 is equipped with screens for the jurors, counsel, and the Court to view exhibits.
- COURTROOM WALK-THROUGH: The parties are to coordinate with my 4. Courtroom Deputy, Lili Harrell, to schedule a tech rehearsal.
- MASK POLICY: The Court requires all individuals to wear masks in the 5. courtroom. Only testifying witnesses and questioning attorneys may remove their masks. If a witness chooses not to remove their mask, the Court will instruct the jury not to make any inferences about a witness' decision to keep their mask on.
- 6. JURY VACCINATION REQUIREMENT: By default the Court will not excuse jurors on the sole basis that they are unvaccinated, but at the conference the Court suggested that the parties meet and confer to discuss whether they seek to excuse jurors on this basis. If the Court does not receive a stipulation from the parties by August 1, 2022, requesting to excuse unvaccinated jurors, the Court will not excuse jurors on the sole basis that they are unvaccinated.
- 7. JURY SELECTION: Jury selection will take place on Monday, August 8, 2022, at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom 8. Eight jurors will be selected. The Court will request that the Jury Office prepare forty jurors for selection. The jurors will come to Courtroom 8 in two groups of no more than twenty jurors. The parties will each have twenty minutes for voir dire of each group of jurors; this time does not count towards the parties' ten-hour time limit. Each side is permitted three preemptory challenges total for all approximately forty jurors. The preemptory challenges will be "snake style": one for Plaintiff, two for Defendant, two for Plaintiff, and one for Defendant. A party may "pass" and choose not excuse a juror on their turn, but if Plaintiff and Defendant consecutively "pass," the Court will end the excusal process. The Court will not allow additional preemptory challenges if a jury is not selected

		9
		10
Office States District Court	Northern District of California	11
		12
		13
		14
		14 15
		16
		17
		18
		19
		20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

from the first group of twenty jurors.

- 8. JURY QUESTIONNAIRE: The Jury Office will distribute a jury questionnaire to potential jurors, the Court will send the jurors' responses to the parties during the week of **August 1, 2022**. At that time, the Court will suggest any for cause challenges to potential jurors and set a deadline for the parties to make for cause challenges. The jurors will also complete the Court's supplemental jury questionnaire upon arriving to the courthouse on August 8. The parties will have time to review the supplemental jury questionnaire answers before voir dire.
- 9. JURY QUESTIONS: At the conference, Plaintiff advocated for jury questions to increase jury comprehension, Defendant objected for health and safety reasons, and the Court took the matter under submission. Ultimately, the Court has decided to ALLOW jury questions in this case.
- 10. FURTHER HEARING: The Court will set a further pretrial hearing to rule on the parties' Motions in Limine, Plaintiff's Request for Judicial Notice, and any other outstanding pretrial matters.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 22, 2022

NATHANAEL M. COUSINS United States Magistrate Judge